## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

## EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ESTEBAN CUBILLOS, Case No. 1:21-cv-00465-SKO

Plaintiff,

v.

KILOLO KIJAKAZI, Acting Commissioner of Social Security,<sup>1</sup>

Defendant.

ORDER THAT DEFENDANT FILE A
WRITTEN STATEMENT SHOWING
CAUSE WHY PLAINTIFF'S MOTION
FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT SHOULD
NOT BE DEEMED UNOPPOSED OR
THAT DEFENDANT FILE THE CROSS-

MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

14-DAY DEADLINE

On March 19, 2021, Plaintiff, represented by counsel and proceeding *in forma pauperis*, filed the present action in this Court. (Doc. 1.) Plaintiff seeks review of the Commissioner's denial of their application for benefits. (*Id.*)

The Court entered a Scheduling Order on April 1, 2022 (Doc. 13), which was enlarged by stipulation of the parties on several occasions. (*See* Docs. 18, 19, 20, 21, 25, & 26.) The current case schedule set the deadline for Plaintiff's motion for summary judgment on January 4, 2023 (*see* Doc. 26), with Defendant's cross-motion for summary judgment due "[w]ithin 45 days after service" of Plaintiff's motion. (*See* Doc. 13.) Plaintiff timely filed their motion for summary judgment on

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> On July 9, 2021, Kilolo Kijakazi was named Acting Commissioner of the Social Security Administration. *See* https://www.ssa.gov/history/commissioners.html. She is therefore substituted as the defendant in this action. *See* 42 U.S.C. § 405(g) (referring to the "Commissioner's Answer"); 20 C.F.R. § 422.210(d) ("the person holding the Office of the Commissioner shall, in [their] official capacity, be the proper defendant").

## Case 1:21-cv-00465-SKO Document 28 Filed 03/03/23 Page 2 of 2

| 1  | January 4, 2023. Defendant's cross-motion for summary judgment was due within 45 days—on             |
|----|--|
| 2  | February 17, 2023.   |
| 3  | On February 17, 2023, Defendant failed to file and serve their cross-motion for summary              |
| 4  | judgment with the Court and on opposing counsel, and to date, no cross-motion has been filed and     |
| 5  | served. (See Docket.) Defendant is, therefore, ordered to show cause, if any, why Plaintiff's motion |
| 6  | for summary judgment should not be deemed unopposed for Defendant's failure to comply with the       |
| 7  | Court's current case schedule. Alternatively, Defendant may file the cross-motion for summary        |
| 8  | judgment.  |
| 9  | Accordingly, it is HEREBY ORDERED that:  |
| 10 | 1. By no later than <b>14 days of service of this Order</b> , Defendant shall <b>either</b> :        |
| 11 | a. file a written response to this Order showing cause why Plaintiff's motion for                    |
| 12 | summary judgment should not be deemed unopposed for Defendant's failure                              |
| 13 | to comply with the current case schedule; or   |
| 14 | b. file the cross-motion for summary judgment.   |
| 15 | Failure to respond to this Order to Show Cause will result in the Court deeming                      |
| 16 | Plaintiff's motion for summary judgment unopposed.   |
| 17 |  |
| 18 | IT IS SO ORDERED.  |
| 19 | Dated: March 2, 2023 /s/ Sheila K. Oberto  |
| 20 | UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE   |
| 21 |  |
| 22 |  |
| 23 |  |
| 24 |  |
| 25 |  |
| 26 |  |
| 27 |  |
| 28 |  |